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A brief history of Basel liquidity requirements

“We also are developing benchmarks, tools and metrics that supervisors can use to promote more consistent liquidity standards for cross-border banks.”

– **Dr Nout Wellink**, President of the Netherlands Bank and Chairman of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (March 2009)
A brief history of Basel liquidity requirements

“We also are developing benchmarks, tools and metrics that supervisors can use to promote more consistent liquidity standards for cross-border banks.”

— Dr Nout Wellink, President of the Netherlands Bank and Chairman of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (March 2009)

“Two regulatory standards for liquidity risk which have been developed to achieve two separate but complementary objectives.”

“The first objective is to promote the short-term resiliency of the liquidity risk profile of institutions by ensuring that they have sufficient high quality liquid resources to survive an acute stress scenario lasting for one month.” ➔ **Liquidity Coverage Ratio**

“The second objective is to promote resiliency over longer-term time horizons by creating additional incentives for banks to fund their activities with more stable sources of funding on an ongoing structural basis.” ➔ **Net Stable Funding Ratio**

The first objective is to promote the short-term resiliency of the liquidity risk profile of institutions by ensuring that they have sufficient high quality liquid resources to survive an acute stress scenario lasting for one month. 

**Liquidity Coverage Ratio**

**Key design considerations / attributes:**
- Ex ante self insurance
- Harmonized
- Firm-specific distress during period of market disruption
- Calibrated to periods of stress
- Risk sensitive
- Contractual and contingent funding sources and needs
- ‘Fire sale’ contagion
- Transparent
- Time consistent
- Robust to uncertainty
“Arbitrage Capital of Global Banks”
Anderson, Du and Schlusche

✓ Primary response of global banks to a large negative wholesale funding shock for global banks (as a result of the U.S. money market mutual fund reform implemented in 2016) was a cutback in arbitrage positions that relied on unsecured funding, rather than a reduction in loan provision
✓ Decline in unsecured funding directly translated into declines in excess reserve balances
✓ Do not find any significant evidence of a decline in banks’ loan positions following large negative funding supply shock

Key design considerations / attributes:
- Ex ante self insurance
- Harmonized
- Firm-specific distress during period of market disruption
- Calibrated to periods of stress
- Risk sensitive
- Contractual and contingent funding sources and needs
  - ‘Fire sale’ contagion
- Transparent
- Time consistent
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“Capital and Liquidity Interaction in Banking”
Acosta-Smith, Arnould, Milonas and Vo

✓ Capital and liquidity requirements are to some extent substitutes over the period studied
✓ Find banks engage in less liquidity transformation when their bank-specific capital requirements increase
✓ If better-capitalized banks engage in less liquidity transformation, relaxing liquidity requirements could be warranted given the stricter capital requirements in Basel III
✓ “In a period of high liquidity stress, when uncertainty is high and the value of assets is particularly uncertain, a bank's level of capital matters less as liquidity risk increases sharply. In this case, capital and liquidity requirements should act in a more complementary fashion.”

Key design considerations / attributes:
♦ Ex ante self insurance
♦ Harmonized
♦ Firm-specific distress during period of market disruption
♦ Calibrated to periods of stress
♦ Risk sensitive
♦ Contractual and contingent funding sources and needs
♦ ‘Fire sale’ contagion
♦ Transparent
♦ Time consistent
♦ Robust to uncertainty
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